The Influence of Newly Synthesised Fenpropimorph Derivatives on Some Pathogen Yeasts

Emília Breierová^{a,*}, Ján Šajbidor^b, and Martin Lamačka^b

- ^a Culture Collection of Yeasts, Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, 842 38 Bratislava, Slovakia. Fax: +421-7-59410222. E-mail: chememi@savba.sk
- b Department of Biochemical Technology, Slovak University of Technology Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia
- * Author for correspondence and reprint requests
- Z. Naturforsch. 56c, 53-57 (2001); received July 28/September 12, 2000

Fenpropimorph Derivatives, Ergosterol, Lipids

The effect of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of six novel fenpropimorph derivatives on lipid and sterol composition of *Candida albicans*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Malassezia pachydermatis* and *Malassezia furfur* was investigated. The MICs for the most effective derivatives were found in the range from 3.7 to 56.7 µm and were 2–3 times lower compared to the commercial fungicide bifonazol. The more efficient fenpropimorph derivatives were the piperidine derivative for *C. albicans* and the allylamine derivative for *Cr. neoformans*, *M. pachydermatis and M. furfur*. The inhibitor in the growth medium reduced the unsaturation index of the total lipid content in *M. furfur* and *C. albicans*.

Introduction

The increasing incidence of yeast infections has greatly stimulated the interest in the development of new antimycotic drugs affecting the sterol biosynthesis pathway. The most frequently used fungicides are azoles and morpholines. The target enzyme for azoles is lanosterol demethylase (Joseph-Horne and Hollomon, 1997), morpholines and piperidines inhibit the Δ^{8-7} isomerase and Δ^{14} -reductase (Marcireau et al., 1992). Although attack of ergosterol biosynthesis is usually the primary reason of growth inhibition, unsaturated sterols can replace ergosterol in the membranes. So, a fungistatic effect can not only be due to accumulation of abnormal sterols in treated cells, but is linked with other adverse influences (Marcireau et al.,1990). In accordance with this suggestion Georgopapadakou et al. (1987) showed that changes in membrane fatty acids induced by fungicides rather than decreased ergosterol were responsible for growth inhibition of Candida albicans. All yeasts used belong to the most important opportunistic pathogens for immunocompromised humans or animal hosts (Groshek, 1998) C. albicans is the main cause of superficial and systematic mycoses, and Cryptococcus neoformans is an important pathogen for individuals with AIDS and other immunocompromising diseases (Kelly et al., 1999; Bujdáková et al., 1999). The lipophilic yeast Malassezia pachydermatis and lipid-dependent species Malassezia furfur are part of the normal cutaneous microflora of most warm-blooded vertebrates (Boerhout et al., 1998; Guillot and Bond, 1999).

The objective of the present study was to compare the antifungal activity of six fenpropimorph derivatives including allylamines, piperazines and piperidines. Their inhibitory effect was compared with the azol fungicide bifonazol.

Materials and Methods

The following pathogen yeasts were used: Candida albicans CCY 29-3-101, CCY 29-3-102, Cryptococcus neoformans CCY 17-1-2, Malassezia furfur CCY 85-2-1 and two strains of Malassezia pachydermatis (CCY 85-1-5, CCY 85-1-10). The strains were obtained from the Culture Collection of Yeasts (Institute of Chemistry, SAS, Bratislava, Slovakia).

The cultures were grown on malt agar at $37\,^{\circ}$ C, only *M. furfur* was cultivated on Dixon's modified medium (7 g malt extract, 1 ml Tween 40, 0.25 ml glycerol, 1 g peptone, 0.01 g yeast extract, 0.05 g stearic acid and 2 g agar in 100 ml medium). Inhi-

0939 −5075/2001/0100 −0053 \$ 06.00 © 2001 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · www.znaturforsch.com · D



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

bition effects of the newly synthesised fungicides (Fig. 1) prepared according to Veverka et al., 1990, was compared with bifonazol (Bayer AG, Germany). Paper discs (0.5 cm in diameter) were impregnated with 10 µl of inhibitor solution in 96% ethanol at the final amounts of 250, 125 and 50 µg per disc. The discs were placed on agar surface covered with culture suspension. The yeast strains were incubated at 37 °C for 48-72 h. Zones of growth inhibition (mm in diameter) were measured for each amount of fungicide. For the most effective inhibitors the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by a microdilution method according Carillo-Munoz and Tur-Tur (1997). All determinations were made in triplicate. Cells used for sterol and fatty acid analysis were grown on malt agar with a suspended fungicide concentration of 5 µg·ml⁻¹ in the medium. Lipids were extracted from lyophylised yeasts with a chloroform / methanol mixture (2:1 v/v) (Folch et al., 1957). Fatty acids were liberated by alkaline methylated hydrolysis, with diazomethane (Schlenk and Gellerman, 1960) and analysed according to Bohov et al. (1997). Sterols were determined by GC (Pesti et al., 1997) and HPLC (Lamačka and Šajbidor, 1997; Steel et al., 1989).

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{CH_3} \\ \mathsf{H_3C} - \overset{\mathsf{CH_3}}{\underset{\mathsf{CH_2}}{\longleftarrow}} & - \mathsf{CH_2} - \overset{\mathsf{CH_3}}{\underset{\mathsf{CH_2}}{\longleftarrow}} \mathsf{R_n} \end{array}$$

Results and Discussion

The tested fenpropimorph derivatives (Fig. 1) showed differences between basidiomycete species M. pachydermatis, M. furfur, and Cr. neoformans and the ascomycete C. albicans (Table I). Allylamine R₁ and piperazine R₂ derivatives are weak inhibitors for all tested strains. The piperidine derivative R₃ was effective against C. albicans and Malassezia sp. Similarly, R₄ was inhibitory for two isolates of M. pachydermatis, but an effect on M. furfur was not detected. R₅ and R₆ strongly influenced the growth of Malassezia and Cryptococcus, but not C. albicans. Comparison of the MIC showed, that the allylamine R₅ derivative was the effective fungicide for M. pachydermatis, M. furfur and Cr. neoformans. Similarly, R₃ was more potent than the reference for both Candida strains (Table II).

As the target of all tested fungicides are enzymes of the sterol pathway, changes in the content of ergosterol were investigated. It is evident that the presence of inhibitor caused its decrease in all samples, but a direct correlation between the extent of ergosterol reduction and MIC was not observed. The decline in ergosterol, di-

Fig. 1. Chemical structures of newly synthesised inhibitors.

Table I. Influence of three concentrations of inhibitors on growth of some pathogenic yeasts.

Inhibitor	c μg/disc	Yeast strain CCY					
		M. pachy- dermatis 85-1-5	M. pachy- dermatis 85-1-10	M. furfur 85-2-1	Cr. neo- formans 17-1-2	C. albicans 29–3-101	C. albicans 29–3-102
	_	Inhibitiona					
	50	_	_	_	_	_	-
$\mathbf{R_1}$	125	+	-	-	_	-	_
(allylamine)	250	+	-	-	_	-	_
	50	_	-	-	-	_	_
$\mathbf{R_2}$	125	+	_	-	-	_	_
(piperazine)	250	+	_	_	_	_	-
	50	_	_	_	-	-	+
R_3	125	++	++	_	_	+	+
(piperidine)	250	++	++	_	_	++	++
	50	_	_	_	_	_	+
$\mathbf{R_4}$	125	++	++	-	-	-	+
(allylamine)	250	++	++	_	_	+	+
	50	++	++	++	++	_	-
R_5	125	+++	+++	++	++	-	-
(allylamine)	250	+++	+++	+++	++	-	+
	50	++	++	+	+	_	-
R_6	125	++	++	++	+	-	-
(allylamine)	250	++	++	++	+	-	+
	50	++	++	+	+	_	-
bifonazol	125	++	++	++	+	_	-
	250	++	++	++	++	_	+

c – concentration of inhibitor per disc; ^a – zone of inhibition (mm in diameter): 0 (–), 0–10 (+), 10–20 (++), 20–30 (+++).

Table II. Ergosterol content of yeast cultivated without (-) and with (+) inhibitors at the minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) of the most effective inhibitors and bifonazol.

Yeast strain CCY		IC м)	Ergosterol content $(\mu g \cdot m g^{-1} \ DC)$		
	Bifonazol	inhibitora	-	+	
M. pachydermatis 85-1-5	26.5	9.2	1.5	0.3	
M. pachydermatis 85-1-10	28.7	7.7	1.2	0.5	
M. furfur 85-2-1	8.6	3.7	0.5	0.03	
Cr. neoformans 17-1-2	57.1	17.5	0.4	0.3	
C. albicans 29-3-101	115.3	56.7	2.2	0.09	
C. albicans 29-3-102	98.9	43.1	2.2	0.4	

^a Inhibitor R_5 was used for 85-1-5, 85-1-10, 85-2-1 and 17-1-2, inhibitor R_3 was tested against 29-3-101 and 29-3-102 strains; DC – dry cell weight; (–) absence of inhibitors; (+) presence of inhibitors.

hydroergosterol and episterol in *C. albicans* was accompanied with the increasing of zymosterol, ignosterol, and fecosterol (Table III). Accumulation of zymosterol could be related to the reduction of C24 sterol methyl transferase activity (Barrett-Bee and Dixon, 1995), probably the high

Table III. The influence fenpropimorph derivative R_3 on the profile of sterols using the more resistant strain *Candida albicans* CCY 29-3-102.

Sterol	Sterol content $(\mu g \cdot g^{-1} DC)$		
	-	+	
Ergosterol	802	162	
Zymosterol	10	258	
Dihydroergosterol	93	2	
Ignosterol	6	478	
Lanosterol	12	38	
Fecosterol	4	59	
Episterol	75	3	

DC – dry cell weight; (–) absence of inhibitors, (+) presence of inhibitors.

Table IV. Fatty acid composition of M. furfur and C. albicans cultivated without (-) and with (+) the most effective inhibitors $(R_5$ for M. furfur and R_3 for C. albicans).

Fatty acids	Malassez CCY 8		Candida albicans CCY 29-3-102				
	-	+	-	+			
	Fatty acid content % DC						
12:0	0.5	9.6	0.1	0.1			
13:0	ND	0.5	ND	ND			
14:0	2.8	11.2	0.7	0.7			
15:0 ai	8.6	ND	ND	ND			
14:1 n-5	ND	0.4	0.1	ND			
15:0	0.8	2.4	0.2	0.3			
16:0 i	2.6	ND	ND	ND			
16:0	44.0	54.6	16.9	12.0			
16:1 n-7t	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.2			
16:1 n-9c	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.3			
16:1 n-7c	1.9	3.7	5.7	9.9			
17:0 ai	2.2	0.2	0.6	0.2			
17:0	0.9	2.0	1.1	0.7			
17:1 n-8c	0.3	0.2	1.4	1.8			
18:0	15.9	5.8	7.0	6.3			
18:1 n-9t	0.4	ND	0.2	0.3			
18:1 n-7t	ND	0.2	0.1	0.2			
18:1 n-9 c	10.4	0.6	43.6	41.8			
18:1 n-7c	0.6	2.9	0.7	0.8			
18:2 n-6c	4.3	3.7	15.3	19.0			
20:0	1.1	0.1	0.3	0.3			
18:3 n-3c	ND	ND	1.7	2.3			
20:2 n-6c	0.2	1.3	0.1	0.1			
22:0	0.1	ND	0.2	0.2			
24:0	0.2	ND	2.7	1.8			
25:0	ND	ND	0.3	0.4			
26:0	0.2	ND	0.6	0.4			
I.U	0.2464	0.1866	0.8812	1.0024			

DC – dry cell weight, ai – ante-iso, i – iso, I.U – index of unsaturation calculated according Kates and Baxter, 1962, ND – not determined.

content of ignosterol was the consequence of Δ^{14} reductase inhibition (Marcireau *et al.*, 1992). Increase of fecosterol was always accompanied with Δ^{8-7} isomerase inhibition (Kelly *et al.*, 1994).

Cultivation in the presence of fungicide induced adaptive changes not only in the sterol profile, but also in fatty acid content and composition (Table IV). It is interesting that fungicides caused only a slight decrease of the total fatty acid level amount in both tested strains. Although growth inhibition is usually accompanied with lipid reduction (Barrett-Beeand Dixon, 1995), the increase of the neutral lipid level can also be a consequence of ergosterol disappearance (Hitchcock et al., 1987). GC analyses showed that the content of individual fatty acids in Malassezia furfur and Candida albicans were quite different. The main fatty acid in M. furfur was palmitic in contrary with C. albicans, in which oleic acid was detected as the most abundant structure. M. furfur shows the inhibitory effect by stearic and oleic acid reduction with concomitant increase of palmitic acid. On the other hand, cultivation of C. albicans in the presence of the R₃ derivative decreased both, palmitic and oleic acid as well. Decline of these was compensated by accumulation of palmitoleic, linoleic and linolenic acid. Generally, the adaptation response of M. furfur included a slight reduction of lipid unsaturation unlike C. albicans where the opposite trend was observed.

The results demonstrate that fenpropimorph derivatives exhibit potent mycostatic and mycocidal activity against Cr. neoformans, Malassezia pachydermatis and M. furfur with the R_5 - allylamine derivative and against C. albicans with the R_3 - piperidine derivative.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. M. Veverka for supplying tested derivatives. This work was supported by grant No. 1/6252 and 2/4145 from the Slovak Grant Agency.

- Barrett-Bee K. and Dixon G. (1995), Ergosterol biosynthesis inhibition: a target for antifungal agents. Acta Biochim. Pol. **42**, 465–479.
- Boerhout T., Kamp M. and Gueho E. (1998), Molecular typing of *Malassezia* species with PFGE and RAPD. Medical Mycology **36**, 365–372.
- Bohov P., Sebokova E., Gasperikova D., Langer P. and Klimes I. (1997), Fatty acid composition in fractions of structural and storage lipids in liver and skeletal muscle of hereditary hypertriglyceridemic rats. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 827, 494–509.
- Bujdáková H., Lell P. C., Gruber A., Langgartner M., Spötl L., Kurišová S., Klobušický M., Dierich M. P. and Würzner R. (1999), The influence of subinhibitory concentrations of conventional and experimental antifungal drugs on the expression of the iC3b binding protein in *Candida albicans* strains during filamentation. FEMS Immun. Med. Microbiol. 26, 1–10.
- Carillo-Munoz A.-J. and Tur-Tur C. (1997), Comparative study of antifungal activity of sertaconazole, terbinafine, and bifonazole against clinical isolates of *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus neoformans* and dermatophytes. Chemotherapy **43**, 387–392.
- Folch J., Lees M. and Sloane-Stanley G.-H. (1957), Simple method for the isolation and purification of total Lipids from animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. **226**, 497–509.
- Georgopapadakou N.-H., Dix B.-A., Smith S.-A., Freudenberger J. and Funke P.-T. (1987), Effect of antifungal agents on lipid biosynthesis and membrane integrity in *Candida albicans*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **31**, 46–51.
- Groshek P.-M. (1998), Malassezia pachydermatis infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 339, 270–271.
- Guillot J. and Bond R. (1999), *Malassezia pachy-dermatis*: a review. Medical Mycology **37**, 195–306.
- Hitchcock C.-A., Barrell-Bee K.-J. and Russell N.-J. (1987), The lipid composition and permeability to azole of an azole- and polyene-resistant mutant of *Candida albicans*. J. med. Vet. Mycol. **25**, 29–37.

- Joseph-Horne T. and Hollomon D.-W. (1997), Molecular mechanisms of azole resistance in fungi. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **149**, 141–149.
- Kates M. and Baxter R.-M. (1962), Lipid composition of mesophilic and psychrophilic yeasts (*Candida* species) as influenced by environmental temperature. Can. J. Biochem. Physiol. **40**, 1213–1227.
- Kelly S.-L., Lamb D.-C. and Kelly D.-E. (1999), Y132H substitution in *Candida albicans* sterol 14α-demethylase confers fluconazole resistance by preventing binding to haem. FEMS Microbiol Lett. **180**, 171–175.
- Kelly D.-E., Rose M.-E. and Kelly S.-L. (1994), Investigation of the role of sterol Δ^{8-7} isomerase in the sensitivity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to fenpropimorph. FEMS Microbiol.Lett. **122**, 223–226.
- Lamačka M. and Šajbidor J. (1997), Ergosterol determination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Comparison of different methods. Biotechnol.Techniques 11, 723–725
- Marcireau C., Guilloton M. and Karst F. (1990), *In vivo* effects of fenpropimorph on the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and determination of the molecular basis of the antifungal property. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **34**, 989–993.
- Marcireau C., Guyonnet D. and Karst F. (1992), Construction and growth properties of a yeast strain defective in sterol 14-reductase. Curr. Genet. **22**, 267–272.
- Pesti M., Horváth L., Vígh L. and Farkas T. (1985), Lipid content and ESR determination of plasma membrane order parameter in *Candida albicans* sterol mutants. Acta Microbiol. Hung. **32**, 305–313.
- Steel C.-C., Baloch R.-I., Mercer E.-I. and Baldwin B.-C. (1989), The intracellular location and physiological effects of abnormal sterols in fungi grown in the presence of morpholine and funcionally related fungicides. Pestic Biochem Physiol **33**, 101–111.
- Veverka M., Štibrányi L. and Szemes F. (1990), The method for the preparation of phenylpropylamine derivatives. CS. Pat. Appl. 11790.